Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/627,647	FOUILLET ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bradley L. Sisson	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application: 120	2
(1) <u>Bradley L. Sisson</u> .	(3)	
(2) Frank C. Eisenschenk, Ph.D., Reg. No. 45,332.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 April 2005</u>	Time: <u>1415</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 13-51 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
B. J. Sign	- ·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	plicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Dr. Eisenschenk authorized an Examiner's Amendment whereby claims 13-51, drawn to non-elected inventions, will be canceled. Dr. Eisenschenk also authorized the debiting of Deposit Account 19-0065 in the amount of \$570 for fees associated with an extension of time to respond in the fourth month.